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A Difference of Opinion.

It seems that even the slaves of Columbia, South Carolina, have, like their betters, taken it upon themselves to embody their approbation of Brooks' assault on Sumner in a testimonial to be presented to the chivalrous assailant. The South Carolinian, published at Columbia, allying to the public demonstrations by the white folks, of approval of Brooks' conduct, winds up as follows:

"And, to add the crowning glory to the good work, the slaves of Columbia have already a handsome subscription, and will present an appropriate token of their regard to him who has made the first practical issue for their preservation and protection in their rights and enjoyments as the happiest laborers on the face of the globe."

This don't suit the Charleston Mercury at all. That strict disciplinarian is horrified at what its Columbia contemporary exults at, and ejaculates its disapprobation of the darkies' movement in a very pertinent manner. "Was the like," asks the Mercury, "ever before published in a newspaper of South Carolina? if our slaves can publicly congratulate, may they not publicly condemn? And if one portion are permitted to hurl at Brooks, why not another, it disposed, sympathize with Mr. Sumner?"

On the whole the Mercury concludes that the negro demonstration is a "spectacle as disgusting as it is novel—offensive to every sentiment of South Carolina society, and calculated to bring ridicule and disgrace upon the whole movement." We think so too.

The Responsibility of the Medical Man.

At the dinner of the Massachusetts Medical Society at the Revere House, recently, Dr. O. W. Jones spoke for the responsibilities of the medical man in the following terms:

"Look into the chamber where our fellow citizens, struck down without warning by the hand of the law, demand mercy, and find an insatiable thirst for love of the good which is to be and late into the evening.
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